

Handout #6: Technical Supplement on Milgrom-Weber Auction Theory

Complementarity

Let $x \wedge y, x \vee y$, refer to the component-wise minima (x meet y) and maxima (x join y), respectively.

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is supermodular if $f(x \vee y) + f(x \wedge y) \geq f(x) + f(y)$.

Remark: If f is twice-differentiable, then supermodularity reduces to: $i \neq j$ implies $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \geq 0$.

This, in turn, is equivalent to "increasing differences." That is, for $x_i > y_i$,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \text{ is nondecreasing in } x_j \text{ for } j \neq i.$$

If f is a payoff function, the variables of f are said to be complementary.

Affiliation

If the function $\log f$ is supermodular, f is said to be log supermodular [log-spm]. If f is a density, then the random variables with density f are said to be *affiliated*. If there are two of them, f is said to have the *monotone likelihood ratio property* (MLRP).

(i) Affiliation is equivalent to the statement that $E[\alpha(\mathbf{X}) | a_i \leq X_i \leq b_i]$ is nondecreasing in a_i, b_i for all nondecreasing functions α .

Proof: Consider $\varphi(y) = E[\alpha(x, Y) | Y=y, a \leq x \leq b]$. Below, expectations refer to conditioning on $Y=y, a \leq x \leq b$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(y) &= E\left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}\right] + \int \alpha(x, y) \left(\frac{f_y(s | y)}{\int_a^b f(z | y) dz} - \frac{f(x | y) \int_a^b f_y(z | y) dz}{\left(\int_a^b f(z | y) dz\right)^2} \right) dx \\ &= E\left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}\right] + E\left[\alpha(x, y) \frac{f_y(x | y)}{f(x | y)}\right] - E[\alpha(x, y)] E\left[\frac{f_y(x | y)}{f(x | y)}\right] = E\left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}\right] + COV\left(\alpha, \frac{f_y}{f}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If the MLRP is satisfied, this is nonnegative. Conversely, let α be increasing in x and constant in y . Then φ is nondecreasing for all y, a , and b if and only if the MLRP is satisfied.

(ii) Nondecreasing functions of affiliated r.v.'s are affiliated (see Milgrom-Weber).

Let x, y have density $f(x, y)$, and denote the density of y given x by $f_y(y|x)$, with cdf $F_y(y|x)$.

(iii) $F_{Y(y|x)}$ is nonincreasing in x (First Order Stochastic Dominance).

The characteristic function of a set, 1_A , is the function which is 1 if $x \in A$ and 0 otherwise. Note $\Pr[X_i \geq x_i] = E[1_{\{X_i \geq x_i\}}]$. It follows that $\Pr[X_i \geq x_i | X_j = x_j]$ is nondecreasing in x_j .

(iv) f is log-spm if and only if f_Y is log-spm.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \log f_Y(y|x) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \log \left(\frac{f(x,y)}{\int f(x,z) dz} \right) = \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \log f(x,y) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \log \left(\int f(x,z) dz \right) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \log f(x,y) \end{aligned}$$

(v) Independently distributed random variables are affiliated.

(vi) If $f(y|x)$ is log-spm, $F(y|x)$ is log-spm

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{f(y|x)}{F(y|x)} &= \frac{f_2(y|x)}{F(y|x)} - \frac{f(y|x) F_2(y|x)}{F(y|x)^2} \\ &= \frac{f(y|x)}{F(y|x)^2} \left[\frac{f_2(y|x)}{f(y|x)} F(y|x) - F_2(y|x) \right] \\ &= \frac{f(y|x)}{F(y|x)^2} \left[\int_0^y \left(\frac{f_2(y|x)}{f(y|x)} - \frac{f_2(z|x)}{f(z|x)} \right) f(z|x) dz \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(vii) if f, g are log-spm, fg is log-spm. Proof is $\log fg = \log f + \log g$.

Auction Environment

Bidder i privately receives a signal that is the realization of the r.v. X_i ; the vector $(X_1, \dots, X_n, \mathbf{S})$ are affiliated and the X_i 's are symmetrically distributed. The payoff to bidder i is $u(X_i, X_{-i}, \mathbf{S})$. u is assumed nondecreasing in all arguments. We fix attention on bidder 1 and let $Y = \max \{X_2, \dots, X_n\}$. Y is affiliated with X_1 . Let $f_Y(Y|x)$ be the density of Y given $X_1=x$, with distribution function F_Y . Let $v(x,y) = E[u|x_1=x, Y=y]$. Since u is nondecreasing, so is v .

Second Price Auction

In a second price auction, the high bidder obtains the object and pays the second highest bid.

A symmetric equilibrium bidding function is a function B_2 such that, given all other bidders bid according to B_2 , the remaining bidder maximizes expected profit by bidding $B_2(x)$ given signal x . Consider bidder 1 with signal x who instead bids $B_2(z)$. This bidder earns

$$\pi = \int_0^z (v(x, y) - B_2(y)) f_Y(y | x) dy.$$

In order for B_2 to be an equilibrium, π must be maximized at $z=x$, which implies

$$B_2(x) = v(x, x).$$

It is straightforward to show that B_2 is indeed an equilibrium, and is the only symmetric equilibrium.

If a reserve price (minimum acceptable bid) r is imposed, bidders with signals below x_r , where $E[v(x_r, Y) | Y \leq x_r] = r$, do not submit bids; otherwise the equilibrium is unperturbed. Note however, that the minimum submitted bid, $B_2(x_r) > r$!

Suppose the seller knows S_i . Should the seller tell the bidders S ? The thought experiment is a commitment to honestly reveal the information prior to discovering it. Let

$$w(x, y, s) = E[u | X_1=x, Y=y, S_i=s].$$

$$v(y, y) = E[w(X_1, Y, S_i) | X_1 = Y = y]$$

$$= E[w(Y, Y, S_i) | X_1 = Y = y]$$

$$\leq E[w(Y, Y, S_i) | X_1 \geq Y = y].$$

The seller's revenue with no disclosure, R_N , is

$$R_N = E[v(Y, Y) | X_1 \geq Y]$$

$$\leq E[E[w(Y, Y, S_i) | X_1 \geq Y] | x_1 > Y]$$

$$= E[w(Y, Y, S_i) | X_1 > Y] = R_I, \text{ the revenue with disclosure of } S_i.$$

That is, it always pay to reveal the information accurately.

First Price Auction

In a first price auction, the high bidder obtains the object and pays her bid. Suppose B_1 is a symmetric equilibrium. The profits to bidder 1, with signal x , who bids $B_1(z)$, are:

$$\pi = \int_0^z (v(x, y) - B_1(z)) f_Y(y | x) dy.$$

Maximizing with respect to z , and setting $z=x$, yields the first order differential equation

$$B_1'(x) = \frac{f_Y(x | x)}{F_Y(x | x)} (v(x, x) - B_1(x)).$$

Suppose that the reserve price is zero. Then the differential equation has solution

$$B_1(x) = \int_0^x e^{-\int_y^x \frac{f_Y(z|z)}{F_Y(z|z)} dz} \frac{f_Y(y | y)}{F_Y(y | y)} v(y, y) dy.$$

(If the reserve price $r > 0$, the screening level is x_r and B_1 satisfies $B_1(x_r)=r$.) Integrating $B_1(x)$ by parts and assuming $v(0,0)=0$, we have:

$$B_1(x) = v(x, x) - \int_0^x e^{-\int_y^x \frac{f_Y(z|z)}{F_Y(z|z)} dz} \left(\frac{d}{dy} v(y, y) \right) dy.$$

Conditional on winning with a signal of x (probability $F_Y(x|x)$), a bidder in a second price auction pays

$$EB_2 = \int_0^x v(y, y) \frac{f_Y(y | x)}{F_Y(x | x)} dy = v(x, x) - \int_0^x \frac{F_Y(y | x)}{F_Y(x | x)} \left[\frac{d}{dy} v(y, y) \right] dy.$$

Note that $\log F_Y(x | x) - \log F_Y(y | x) = \int_y^x \frac{f_Y(z | x)}{F_Y(z | x)} dz \geq \int_y^x \frac{f_Y(z | z)}{F_Y(z | z)} dz$ (by(vi))

and thus, $\frac{F_Y(y | x)}{F_Y(x | x)} \leq e^{-\int_y^x \frac{f_Y(z|z)}{F_Y(z|z)} dz}$.

Since v is nondecreasing, the expected payment by a winning bidder with signal x is higher in a second price auction than in a first price auction.