

On Defining the Agency Costs of DebtRaymond F. Gorman	. 3
Emergency Currency and the 1914 Banking Crisis: Implications for Financial DeregulationDonald R. Wells L.S. Scruggs	27
The Transformation Function RevisitedRichard K. Anderson Carl E. Enomoto	47
Interest Rates, Expectations, and Marginal Tax Rates: The Darby-Feldstein Effect ConfirmedMichael T. Bond Gerald E. Smolen	56
Optimal Tenure and the Timing of Faculty Meetings	62
Annual Editor's Report	76

Vol. 10, No.2

Fall 1986

OPTIMAL TENURE AND THE TIMING OF FACULTY MEETINGS

R. Preston McAfee*

Abstract: A formal model of professional output is specified, where the university plays a game of incomplete information with the professor, who is either a productive or unproductive type. Equilibrium frequencies of faculty meetings, administrative duties and the number of deans emerge. The model is tested using data from economics departments.

Le Mortification Optimal et le Chronographie de les Assemblie Excruiation. Le Model de rigeur, de le tedium professorment, est providé, avec le université plaé le competition de le ignorique avec le professor. Le professor est categoré intelligent ou est lumbré de le môrgué. Le frequencie equilibrique de les assemblie excruiation, le idiocie de le administration, et le numerer de le cretin est predicté. Le model est crunché avec le data pour department economique.

^{*}Assistant Professor of Economics, Social Science Centre, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada, N6A 5C2. There are no errors in this paper.

"All good things must come to a bad end."

-Swami Mhakhaphi

Introduction

Economists are generally rather quick to point out the drawbacks of extant institutions. When one considers government interference in markets, government subsidies for the aged or unemployed, "fair trade" or "closed shop" legislation or any of thousands of institutions that protect individuals from the painful incentives to reach a Pareto optimum, a vast economic literature is available for reference. Economists have rightfully and thoroughly dissected the restraint of free trade involved in the American Medical Association, the labor laws, Regulation Q, the SEC, FTC, CAB, DOE, etc., and the resultant welfare losses. In some cases, the criticism has even provided a refreshing irony in a profession justifiably accused of taking itself too seriously. Z Furthermore, every serious economist has understood that distortions of the free market generally result in welfare losses and an economy that could not be realistically described as even second best.³ Economists correctly argue that externalities far too often justify market interference that protects one group at the expense of another. For some obscure reason, however, they are often quite willing to see externalities lurking in education.4 While they may privately disparage public education, they rarely reach the vehemence given, say, agricultural price supports, at least in print. But examples exist of attacks on public education, while one can search extensively without finding derogatory references to the institution of academic tenure. Again, economists tend to point out the obvious deficiencies and deadwood loss associated with tenure in private discussion, but in print, tenure is tenderly treated. The first equilibrium models of tenure emerged from The Institute for Advanced Psychiatric Applications. ⁵
Unfortunately, most of these were not translated until recently, and many of them remain classified. However, they are certainly the first papers that incorporate the notion that the tenure granting committee will respond to alterations in the behavior of tenure seeking agents and vice versa. Of the Soviet authors whose works have been translated, certainly the most profound include Khamsin (1964), Zhumkoff (1965), and Orlov (1965).

Denied access to Soviet manuscripts, the Western modelling tenure took a different approach. The counterfactual method was used in the first tenure papers by Wallace (1979), Wallace and Colonel (1980), and Barren-Grossmann (1981). Other authors considered the alterations of the accumulation of knowledge, with and without tenure. Most of this enquiry focused on the papers of early physicists in the presence of tenure, for example, Michlego (1982).

The paper is structured as follows. In the section you have just read, the literature is surveyed. In the paragraph you are currently reading, a discussion of the paper's structure is presented. In the upcoming section, a Nash equilibrium model is developed. The third section presents some econometric work that, as usual, bears little relation to the formal model. Finally, some concluding remarks and suggestions for future research are offered.

Nash Equilibrium Tenure

Suppose there are two types of professors, A and B. The professors have utility functions $U^i(r,p)$, where p is the amount of time spent talking to the dean and r is the amount of research done, i {A,B}. Let a subscript j refer to the derivative with respect to the jth argument.

For tractability, let

$$U^{A} = e^{ar} \log (1-p), \text{ and}$$
 (1)

$$U^{B} = e^{br} \log (p)$$
, where $a \le b$. (2)

The university awards tenure at time T if

$$\frac{T}{o} r(t) dt \ge r_{o}.$$
(3)

Furthermore, the university chooses p(t), $0 \le t \le T$, while the individual chooses r(t), $0 \le t$ and p(t), $T \le t$, if tenured. If the individual is denied tenure, he goes into "private industry", 8 where type A individuals experience p=1 and type B individuals experience p=0. Neither does any work r in this case. Index the individual type choices by r_A , p_A , r_B , p_B . If the subscript is omitted, the claim holds for both individuals. Let both types have discount rate δ .

While this model is certainly a simplification of reality, it captures most of the desirable attributes. First, there are type A people who have lower cost of production, in the sense that

A B U U
$$1 = a \le b = 1$$
 Second, type A individuals find B U

administrative duties lower their utility, while the other type is the missing link between professors and deans.

Theorem 1: Given p(t), $0 \le t \le T$, T and r_0 , we have

$$r(t)dt = r_0$$
. For t > T, $r(t) = 0$, $p_A(t) = 0$ and $p_B(t) = 1$.

<u>Proof</u>: Examining (1) and (2), we note that private industry is just too boring. Thus, the incentive to achieve tenure is

infinite, and (3) must hold. As log(x) for x = [0,1] is non-positive, (3) holds with equality. QED

For proofs of the following theorems, see McAfee (1987). 9 Theorem 2: Given p(t), T and r:

$$r_{A}(t) = \frac{1}{a} \{ \delta t - \log K_{A} - \log \{-\log (1-p)\} \}$$
 (4)

where

$$\log K_{A} = \frac{\delta T}{2} - \frac{ar_{o}}{F} - \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log \{-\log(1-p)\} dt$$
 (5)

and

$$r_B = \frac{1}{b} \{ \delta t - \log K_B - \log \{ -\log(p) \} \}$$
 (6)

where

$$\log K_{B} = \frac{\delta T}{2} - \frac{\delta r_{o}}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \log \left\{-\log(p)\right\} dt \tag{7}$$

This completely describes the behavior of professors. To procure an equilibrium, we suppose that the university is unable to recognize whether a given professor is type A or B, and thus must choose a p that will be imposed on both types. Strong empirical evidence for the hypothesis that universities are unable to recognize talented faculty is provided in Minn (1978). If is the proportion of type A individuals, then, the university will choose p to

$$\max_{Q} \int_{Q}^{T} e^{-\delta t} \{ cr_{A}(t) + (1-\alpha)r_{B}(t) \} dt$$
 (8)

subject to Theorem 2.

Theorem 3: p is constant, invariant to T and r_0 , and solves the equation

$$p^{\alpha p} = (1-p)^{(1-\alpha)(1-p)}$$
.

Suppose x is a signal that takes on values in the unit interval, with O meaning an assertion is false, while I means the assertion is true. A measure of information content of the signal is, then, x $\log x$ (see Logos (1972), called the entropy measure. By rewriting this expression, we see

$$\alpha_{p} \cdot \log_{p} = (1 - \alpha)(1 - p) \log(1 - p)$$

and thus the universities choice of p equates the weighted information content of administrative duties. That is to say, the university chooses administrative tasks to make both types of professors proportionally obscure.

Thus, type A universities minimize administrative interference, and conversely.

Theorem 4:
$$\dot{r}_A = \frac{\delta}{a} \ge \frac{\delta}{b} = \dot{r}_B \ge 0$$
, and the present value of per

capita output is:
$$PV = (1-e^{-\delta T})\frac{r_0}{\delta T} + (\frac{\omega}{a} + \frac{1-\omega}{b})[\frac{1}{\delta}(1-e^{-\delta T}) - \frac{1}{\delta}(1-e^{-\delta T})]$$

$$\frac{T}{2}(1+e^{-\delta}T)]$$

Now suppose r_0 is set exogenously, but the university

chooses T . Then

Theorem 5:
$$T^* = 0$$
, $PV = r_0$.

Now consider an exogenously fixed tenure time T and production level r_o . Then a higher proportion of Type A individuals increases the present value of output. PV is decreasing in δ - patience is rewarded. Thus, a characterization of a high productivity university is:

- i) high standards for tenure
- ii) low proportion of preadministrative type B professors
- iii) brief interval prior to the tenure decision
- iv) low discount rate
- v) balanced administrative interference.

These are certainly stylized facts concerning good departments. Note that, as 1, p 0, so that the best departments have no faculty meetings, committees, and so forth.

Furthermore,

- vi) professorial output goes up at the discount rate
- vii) administrative interference is constant (incessant)
 over time, and for all but =1 departments, is
 nonzero¹⁰

viii)
$$\dot{r}_A = \frac{\delta}{a} \ge \frac{\delta}{b} = \dot{r}_B$$

That is, Type B individuals lag behind Type A individuals.

Certainly these predictions are in agreement with most individuals' view of the profession. Note that vi) is the professorial Hotelling production lemma.

Econometric Application

The model was tested by examining efficiency units of output prior and posterior to tenure. Efficiency units of output were established by the journal ranking scheme of Milcsaap (1983). The results are presented in Table 1. The hypothesis that tenure affects productivity was overwhelmingly accepted. Various tests of the hypothesis were used, and most readers will be familiar with them, so their mechanics are omitted. 12

Conclusion

Why is there tenure? What is the difference between tenure for professors and unemployment insurance for factory workers? Noting that every economics department has the incentive to continue to employ professors doing controversial but high quality work, can we conclude that 'academic freedom' is precisely the freedom to have incompetence protected?

In the seminal work by Nosfu (1984), the issue of the optimal elimination of tenure was considered. Nosfu argues that tenure should be eliminated as an option for all new entrants into the academic market, for "those currently in the market entered it presuming the existence of tenure, and, thus, to eliminate tenure would be pulling the rug out from under them". Presumably Nosfu is expecting to quit working.

Many have argued that tenure is irrelevant, for a department can allow deadwood salaries to lag behind the inflation rate (see Filmore (1977)). In the presence of faculty unions, this is unclear. In any case, it seems to me that tenure at public universities is precisely analogous to civil service job security, and an equal boundoggle.

Certainly more work remains on this topic. First, a model of the competitive determinants of $r_{\rm o}$ and T should be given. Also, the present model focuses on serious economists, but of course there are those on the margin between working for a university and elsewhere. Thus, a competitive model of T and $r_{\rm o}$, with labor substitution, would be of interest. A

					1	1		/
Table 1:	Peano Space Filling Test	Hoover Institute Test	Brookings Institute Test	Wald M Test	+ Test Confidence Level	Post Tenure Output	Pre Tenure Output ^a	Institution
Output in before and hypothesis	-	100	0	œ	72%	12.1 (5.7)	17.0 (3.2)	CHICAGO
efficie l after s: Acce	1.3	o.	94	8	99.9	(0.0)	10.1	TORÖNTO
Output in efficiency units at various economics departments, before and after tenure. The last 5 rows refer to the hypothesis: Accept a differential	4	0	100	8	99.9	-7.2 ^c (6.5)	14.3 (10.1)	MIT
	∞	58	42	∞	i i	NA b	17.3	NORTHWESTERN
rows refe	16	110	-10	œ	55%	16.5	18.1 (2.7)	WESTERN ONTARIO
s departi	32	45	55	8	- 1 t	NA d	NA d	QUEEN'S
ments,	64	1	1	œ	100% -	0° (0.0)	0 ^e	BRITISH COLUMBIA
	128	55	45	∞	07	(1.5)	15.1 (1.5)	PRINCETON
	256	-	99	. 8	100%	(0.0)	20.9	U HAWAII
-	512	0	100	8	7.0	173.9 ¹ (0.0)	173.9 ^f	U MOSCOW .

Notes:

astandard deviation in parentheses.
bNot available.
cNot clear what productivity could mean.
dWe were scared to visit there.
eToo boring to evaluate, due to aggregation problems.
fSoviet data.

continuum of disutilities of effort, with some having an effort blisspoint associated with positive output, would generalize the model in a valuable way.

Second, there is obviously the incentive to self select into high or low p universities. Utilizing a continuum model, on might generate an evolutionary selection process along the lines of MacDonald's 1984 job/worker typing. Of course, one should capture differential returns, so that type B's tendency to mimic type A's, in the early stages of their careers, is fully captured.

In addition, the model has not captured the phenomenon observed by Zlumbre (1981), who observed that tenure causes, in the Granger sense, serious economists to spout random policy prescriptions unconnected with their formal analyses. Future work should attempt to derive the Type A's spontaneous metamorphosis into Type B's as an optimization.

NOTES

¹It is ironic that the existence of unequated marginals has become known as a dead weight loss. What could be preferable to the loss of dead weight?

²For example, the analyses of the regulated monopoly, and various associated welfare losses, that are to be found in the late <u>Bell Journal of Economics</u>.

Unlike medical doctors, the term economist is singularly unregulated. Thus, some self-styled "economists" may take issue with this statement. The sentence is best seen as a definition of the term "serious economist". Being pragmatic forces me to conclude that those "economists" that speak on TV about downside risks in the canola futures market, or worse still, appear to know what a convertible debenture is, cannot be seriously described as economists.

Anyone who has driven through the south side of Chicago will understand why a University of Chicago economist might see these, and other lurking market failures. Given the conditions, it is surprising that they don't see more.

Located in Lubiyanka, Moscow.

The Barren-Grossman paper is perhaps the most innovative of these. In this monograph, she discusses the cause of her own Ph.D. dissertation, and whether it would have been written, if tenure did not exist. She eventually concludes: "The model was almost certainly created so that I might prove my superiority to other economists. After all, how better to accomplish this than by getting the profession to take my paper seriously, and then be the first to point out how stupid it is?" She continues: "[this proved] that economists are closet Keynesians, even today."

Without loss of generality, one could let p be the number of faculty meetings, the probability one must serve as Dean, or the probability one gets interested in economic policy. This important functional form correctly assumes professors have marginal utility of income equal to zero. Why else did they go into academics? For evidence in support of these functional forms, see Eden (1978) and Anthrax (1980).

 $^{8}\mathrm{Or}$, if they are Canadian, they work for the government.

This is a trick to take advantage of journal ranking procedures. Because they tend to count references in a fan-like search, a paper that references itself will obtain an unbounded number of references. In addition, a journal publishing a self-referential article will obtain an infinity of references. Watch for SEA to move up in the rankings!

 $^{10}\mathrm{Corroboration}$ of this model was received indirectly from comments on it. One group of people found the optimality of positively many faculty meetings astounding, while the rest thought it was obvious to the most casual observer. Calling these groups type A and type B, we have found the model's agents.

 11 For a survey, see Palmist (1983). Also see Skuaalid (1979).

12 Besides, only the t-test is understood by anyone. Note that, unlike most papers, the econometric discussion section is brief, without loss of comprehensibility.

References

Adams, John D., "The Indeterminacy of the Actual Time of Death: Case Studies of Selassie, Franco and Andropov,"

<u>Great Adventures in Time and Space</u>, Edwin Kalmsky (ed.),

<u>Purdue University Press: West Lafayette</u>, 1980.

- Anthrax, Edwin C., "Using your Psychometer," Quantum Mechanix Illustrated, 29, no. 3, June 1980.
- Barren-Grossman, Frieda K., "Causal Roots of My Work," <u>Annals</u> of Disbelief Suspension, 17, no. 3, 1981.
- Brunch, Alan K., <u>They Should Have Been Called Conjectures:</u>

 The Biography of Arnold Folke, Dismal Press: Truth or Consequences, Arizona, 1977.
- Coarse, Roget T., "Monopolists Can Capture the Area Above the Demand Curve," <u>Lawn Economics Quarterly</u>, 1, no. 5, June, 1982.
- Coarse, Roget T., "The Coarse Theorem Concerning Government Intervention in the Public Sector," <u>Innovations in Canadian Public Policy</u>, Lique Dripsey (ed.), Yellow Snow Press: Toronto, 1982.
- Czhadleigkzdhutckope, Úlvýnyk R., "The Comparative Advantage of Outlandish Surnames in Scholarly Publications,"

 Journal of Stylized Facts, 82, no. 3 December, 1953.
- Eden, Fred C., "Cardinal Utility Functions," <u>Diocese Report of</u>
 https://doi.org/10.1001/j.j.pup.1011.000, March, 1978.
- Edisonovich, Thomas A. and Alexandr Bellski, "Great Soviet Inventions," The Big Book of Soviet Superiority, Valiant Defenders of the Motherland Press: Moscow, 1962.
- Filmore, James K., "Inflation Benefits the Productive," <u>Essays</u>
 <u>in Positive Thinking</u>, Banana Peale Press: Gary, Indiana,
 1977.
- Folke, Arnold P., "Some Theorems that are True under Unspecified Circumstances," <u>Lawn Quarterly Review</u>, 7, no. 7, April, 1967.
- Khamsin, Peter N., "The Soviet Union's Valiant Protection of the Academic Freedom of Living Professors," <u>Advanced</u> <u>Psychiatric Working Paper Series</u>, no. 81066, Moscow, June, 1964.
- Linch, Meryl P., "Castro Convertible Debentures," <u>How to Assure a Comfortable Retirement</u>, Senior Citizen Press: St. Petersburg, December, 1981.
- Logos, Arnold X., "Has the Universe Ground to a Halt?"

 <u>Measurement of Union Productivity</u>, James Hoffa (ed.),
 Cement Shoes Press: Newark, 1972.
- MacDonald, Glenn M., <u>Fifty Applications of my Dissertation</u>
 <u>Model</u>, Vol, 7 of <u>Repetitive Games with Incomplete</u>

- Information Series, Garlic Press: Dieppe, 1984.
- McAfee, R. Preston, "Optimal Tenure and the Timing of Faculty Meetings," Studies in Economic Analysis, Fall 1986.
- Michlego, Edward C., "Sir Issac Newton, Tenured: Missed Discoveries in Home Economics," <u>Journal of Listless Desire</u>, 7, no. 3, November, 1982.
- Milcsaap, Walter S., "Ranking the Journals by Paper Quality, Color and Typeface," <u>Interior Decoration Quarterly</u>, 18, no. 21, June, 1983.
- Minn, Maxwell E., "Continued Funding for the Social Sciences,"

 The Return to Pure Research, Solver Hammer Press: New
 York, 1978.
- Nosfu, George R., "Tenure and the Grandfather Clause," Gradualist Policy Review, 71, no. 3, June, 1984.
- Orlov, Nikita E., "Productivity of Tenured Professors in Siberia," <u>Soviet Advanced Psychiatric Working Papers</u>, no. 87922, Moscow, June, 1965.
- Packer, Alferd E., "The Consumption of Tenured Professors,"

 Wyoming Legal Review, 16, no. 1, January, 1840.
- Palmist, John R., "Ranking the Journal Rankings," Recursion Excursions, Lady Di (ed.), Transfinite Press: Kabul, 1983
- Queens, T. B. and E. T. Steiner, "U-shaped Average Fixed Costs Curves," Royal Commission on Canadian Trade Problems Pink Paper Series no. 717, Queens Park Press: Ottawa, 1984.
- Rhadekal, Shiek Yerbout E., "One Handed Economics Professors,"

 <u>Jihad Progress Report Series</u>, no. 666, February, 1983.
- Rigoroff, Yuri O., "The Leningrad Paradox," New Advances in Probability, 18, no. 2, July, 1955.
- Skuaalid, L. Gecko, "Stochastic Dominance and Journal Submissions," from <u>Let's Talk About Leather</u>, Public Access TV Talk Show Series, Bruce the Greek (host), Fire Island, 1979.
- Sloan, Alfred M., "Is the Pierce Arrow Really Better than the Convertible Debenture?" Road and Track, 1, no. 2, August, 1915.
- Tance, Ehnkaln P., "Publish or Parish: Alternative Strategies for Obtaining Tenure," <u>Notre Dame Manuscript Series</u>, no. 7, July, 1936.

- Wallace, George V., "If Tenure did not Exist, Fogel would have Written Murder Mysteries," The West Lafayette Times Book Review, West Lafayette, July 1, 1979.
- Wallace, George V. and The Colonel, "Tenure and Adverse Selection," <u>Proceeding of the Frank Perdue Memorial</u> <u>Conference</u>, Fowl Press: Lexington, Kentucky, 1980.
- Zhumkoff, Gregor V., "The State's Responsibility to Relocate Temporarily Non-Productive Tenured Professors," <u>The People's Psychiatry</u>, Gulag People's Press: Kamchatka, 1965.
- Zlumbre, James Q., "Policy Concerning Academic Policy," <u>Death</u>
 to the Ayatollah, Abdul Kanhfir (ed.), S'h'i'i't'e'
 Press: Damascus, 1981.